1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT	
7			
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT	T OF CALIFORNIA	
9			
10	COBALT PARTNERS, LP, COBALT		
11	PARTNERS II, LP, COBALT OFFSHORE MASTER FUND, LP AND COBALT KC PARTNERS, LP,		
12			
13	Plaintiffs,	No. C 16-02263 WHA	
14	v.	Related Cases:	
15	SUNEDISON, INC., AHMAD CHATILA, BRIAN WUEBBELS, MARTIN TRUONG, ALEJANDRO	No. 16-cv-02264-WHA No. 16-cv-02265-WHA	
16	HERNANDEZ, EMMANUEL HERNANDEZ, ANTONIO R. ALVAREZ, PETER BLACKMORE,	No. 16-cv-02268-WHA No. 16-cv-04883-WHA	
17	CLAYTON DALEY JR., GEORGANNE PROCTOR, STEVEN TESORIERE, JAMES B.	110. 10-61-04003-WIII	
18	WILLIAMS, RANDY H. ZWIRN, GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO., J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LLC,	REQUEST FOR RESPONSE	
19	MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC, MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH	REGARDING COORDINATION OF CASES	
20	INCORPORATED, DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES INC., MACQUARIE CAPITAL	OI CROES	
21	(USA), INC., MCS CAPITAL MARKETS LLC and DOES 1- 25, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23	/		
24	AND RELATED CASES.		
25	/		
26	On August 26, 2016, an order denied motions to remand as to four of the related cases		
27	and certified an issue for interlocutory review under 28	U.S.C. 1292 (see Case No. 16-02265,	
20	Dkt. No. 73). Plaintiffs in <i>Cobalt</i> , <i>Glenview</i> , and <i>Omega</i> subsequently filed petitions for		

interlocutory review pursuant 28 U.S.C. 1292. Plaintiffs in the <i>Bloom</i> class action did not file a
petition for interlocutory review.
On September 7, 2016, an order related a fifth action, <i>Bloom II</i> (Case No.

On September 7, 2016, an order related a fifth action, *Bloom II* (Case No. 16-cv-04883-WHA) to these actions.

This order requests responses from the parties regarding the following question: to what extent should the *Bloom* class action (Case No. C 16-02265 WHA) and the newly-related class action (Case No. 16-cv-04883-WHA), *Bloom II*, be stayed pending such time as our court of appeals acts upon the pending petitions for interlocutory review? **By September 13, 2016 AT NOON**, the parties may submit briefs of no more than five pages responding to this question. The Court asks the parties to consolidate briefs where appropriate and avoid submitting duplicative briefs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 9, 2016.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE